VOLUME 9 OF 13

STUDY TITLE

Summary of Tier I Nontarget Organism Data Requirements and Waiver Requests for Salicylic Acid Technical

DATA REQUIREMENTS

40 CFR 158.2060

Ecological Effects (Nontarget Organisms)

AUTHOR

Ann M. Tillman, Ph.D.

PERFORMING LABORATORY

Not Applicable

STUDY NUMBER

LPI-201019

SPONSOR

Loveland Products, Inc. P.O. Box 1286 Greeley, CO 80632-1286

DATE

August 31, 2010

Page 1 of 24

STATEMENT OF DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS

| No claim | of confid | dentiality | is made | for any | information | contained | in this | study | on the | basis | of its | falling |
|------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| within the | e scope of | f FIFRA | Section 1 | 0(d)(1) | (A), (B), or (| C). | | | | | | |

| SPONSOR: | Loveland Products Inc. |
|---------------------------|---|
| SPONSOR'S REPRESENTATIVE: | Ann M. Tillman |
| SPONSOR'S REP. TITLE: | Consultant - Agent for Loveland Products Inc. |
| | |
| 1 \ | |
| SIGNATURE: Am M. | DATE: Aug. 31, 2010 |

GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE STATEMENT

The material presented in this report is descriptive in nature and, as such, is not subject to the Good Laboratory Practice regulations as set forth in 40 CFR 160. Report Author: am Miller Aug. 31, 2010 Ann M. Tillman Date Consultant - Agent for Loveland Products Inc. Sponsor: Aug. 31, 2010 Ann M. Tillman Date Consultant - Agent for Loveland Products Inc. Submitter:

Ann M. Tillman

Consultant - Agent for Loveland Products Inc.

Aug. 31, 2010 Date QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT

The material presented in this report is descriptive in nature and, as such, is not subject to being audited by a Quality Assurance Unit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| STATEMENT OF DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS | 2 |
|--|---|
| GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE STATEMENT | 3 |
| QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT | 4 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 5 |
| INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| SALICYLIC ACID | 7 |

INTRODUCTION

This document presents a summary of the information available on the effects to nontarget organisms of Salicylic Acid Technical, a new active ingredient in the end use products LPI 6194 Concentrate and LPI 6194 RTU. This document also presents information as to why no additional nontarget organism data is required to support the registration of Salicylic Acid Technical or for the two end use products.

Salicylic Acid Technical contains 98.7% salicylic acid. LPI 6194 Concentrate and LPI 6194 RTU contain three active ingredients:

- ... Salicylic Acid Technical (Chemical Code 76606, CAS Number 69-72-7)
- ... Chitosan (Chemical Code 128930, CAS Number 9012-76-4), and
- ... Indole-3-butyric Acid (Chemical Code 46701, CAS Number 133-32-4).

The concentrations of these active ingredients in the formulations are:

| tive Ingredient | LPI 6194 Concentrate | LPI 6194 RTU |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| licylic Acid | 0.04 | 0.0067 |
| itosan | 1.0 | 0.17 |
| A | 0.02 | 0.0033 |
| A | 0.02 | |

Information for Salicylic Acid is discussed in this document. Information for Chitosan and Indole-3-butyric acid are presented in a separate document.

SALICYLIC ACID

Salicylic acid is also called 2-hydroxybenzoic acid. The molecular formula for salicylic acid is $C_7H_6O_3$ and it has a molecular weight of 138.12. Its structure is shown below:

Salicylic Acid is derived from the Latin word for the willow tree, Salix, from whose bark Salicylic Acid is obtained. This material is widely used in organic synthesis. In nature, it functions as a plant hormone.

Salicylic acid is found in plants and plays a role in plant growth and development, photosynthesis, transpiration, ion uptake and transport. Salicylic Acid also induces specific changes in leaf anatomy and chloroplast structure. Salicylic Acid is involved in endogenous signaling, mediating in plant defense against pathogens (Hayat and Ahmad). Salicylic Acid defends plants against pathogens by inducing the production of pathogenesis-related proteins (Van Huijsduijnen). When one part of a plant is attacked by a pathogen, Salicylic Acid plays a role in systemic acquired resistance (SAR) so that other parts of the plant become resistant to attack by the pathogen. Salicylic Acid is converted in plants to methyl salicylate, which is volatile and can move to nearby plants and impart the same type of signals in those plants (Engleberth, Taiz and Zeiger). A more complete description of the mode-of-action of Salicylic Acid is presented in Volume 13 of this submission.¹

Salicylic acid is a precursor in the manufacture of aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) and is allowed in concentrations of up to 8% in aspirin effervescent tablets for oral solutions (lesser concentrations in other forms of aspirin). Aspirin, also known as acetylsalicylic acid is a common salicylate drug used to relieve minor aches and pains, reduce fever and as an anti-inflammatory medication. Acetylsalicylic acid is rapidly hydrolyzed after absorption from the gut resulting in salicylic acid (Fiume).

Salicylic Acid is used in a wide range of cosmetic products at concentrations up to 3%. Furthermore, salicylic acid is an approved active ingredient for use in topical acne drug products, in wart, corn and callous remover drug products, and drugs for the control of dandruff, seborrheic dermatitis and psoriasis (see 21 CFR 358.510, 358.710, 358.150 and 358.550). Salicylic Acid and derivatives of salicylic acid are also used as an indirect food additive (see 21 CFR 175.105, 177.1010 and 178.2010). There are countless other uses of salicylic acid (Fiume).

The two Loveland Products Inc.'s end use products LPI 6194 Concentrate and LPI 6194 RTU contain salicylic acid. The concentration of Salicylic Acid in LPI 6194 Concentrate is 0.04% and in LPI 6194 RTU is 0.0067%. These products will be used to treat commercial seeds at very low application rates. LPI 6194 RTU is applied at a concentration of 2 to 6 fl.oz per hundred lbs. of seeds. LPI 6194 Concentrate is diluted with water and applied to seeds at the same rate as LPI 6194 RTU. An estimate of the Salicylic Acid application rates and amount of residues on seeds based on the label directions was determined using EPA's TREX model (see Appendix 1 for printouts of input parameters, application rates, and maximum seed residues). The application rates in lb AI/A depend on the type of seed being treated but the Salicylic Acid rates varied from 2.1 x 10⁻⁶ to 5.99 x 10⁻⁵ lb AI/A.

¹ Tillman, A. M. Salicylic Acid Mode of Action.

Salicylic Acid Technical and LPI 6194 Concentrate have been tested in both mammalian and nontarget organism studies. The mammalian toxicity data are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 and the nontarget organism data are summarized in Table 3.

Based on the complete battery of acute toxicity studies conducted with Salicylic Acid Technical, it can be concluded that Salicylic Acid has low acute mammalian oral and dermal toxicity, is a moderate eye irritant, is not a skin irritant and is not a dermal sensitizer. Salicylic Acid is considered moderately to practically non-toxic to avian species and practically non-toxic to aquatic organisms. It is practically non-toxic to the honey bee via contact exposure.

LPI 6194 Concentrate is of very low acute mammalian toxicity via the oral, dermal, and inhalation routes of exposure. It is not irritating to skin or eyes and is not a dermal sensitizer. LPI 6194 Concentrate is categorized at Toxicity Category IV for all routes of exposure.

LPI 6194 Concentrate was tested to determine effects on emergence, survival, height and dry weight of ten species of plants in a 21-Day seedling emergence test. The results are presented in Table 4. At a pre-emergence application rate of 9 fluid ounces of a 1:5 dilution of LI 6194 Concentrate per acre, no reduction of 25% or greater, relative to the control, was observed for any endpoint of eight terrestrial plant species tested at 21 days following application. Reductions of 25% or more were observed on oilseed rape (emergence) and lettuce (dry weight) and additional studies will be carried out to determine the extent of these effects.

A vegetative vigor study on 10 species of plants was conducted with LPI 6194 Concentrate to determine effects on plant survival, condition and growth (weekly height measurements and dry weight measurements of plant shoots at test termination). A foliar application at the rate of 9 fluid ounces of a 1:5 dilution of LPI 6194 Concentrate per acre resulted in no reduction of 25% or greater, relative to the control, for any endpoint of nine terrestrial plant species tested at 21 days following application. A reduction of 25% was observed in *Lolium perenne* (dry weight), a result which is just on the borderline of being considered an effect. Signs of phytotoxicity were infrequently observed, predominantly slight in severity, and present on plants of both the control and the treatment group. Therefore, the signs did not appear to be treatment-related. A summary of the results are presented in Table 5.

The data generated with Salicylic Acid Technical and LPI 6194 Concentrate are sufficient to characterize salicylic acid's low toxicity to nontarget organisms. No additional nontarget organism testing should be required for Salicylic Acid for several reasons:

- Data from mammalian acute toxicity studies and Tier I nontarget organism studies have been conducted with Salicylic Acid Technical or its end use product LPI 6194 Concentrate. Both Salicylic Acid and LPI 6194 Concentrate are practically non-toxic to mammals. Salicylic Acid is not toxic to insects and LPI 6194 Concentrate, for the most part, has not effect on seed germination or vegetative vigor in nontarget plant species. Although not tested, LPI 6194 RTU is an aqueous dilution of LPI 6194 Concentrate and will have similar low toxicity to mammals or to nontarget organisms.
- There are no public literature data that demonstrates that there are toxic effects to nontarget plants and insects that are exposed to naturally-occurring levels of Salicylic Acid. Salicylic Acid is common throughout the plant kingdom and is known as a regulator for physiological processes such as thermogenesis or plant defense against harmful microorganisms (Raskin; Metraux; Jones; Silverman). The majority of the work on the function of Salicylic Acid has been performed with Arabidopsis (Brassica family). So far, all scientific papers support the importance of Salicylic Acid in the regulation of plant growth, development, and abiotic and

- biotic responses in all plant species described, even in very sensitive species, at a moderate concentration (no more than 100-200 mg/L).
- Salicylic Acid is found naturally in crops, plants and insects. Salicylic Acid is a naturally occurring substance whose level in plants and insects has been documented (Scotter). Nontarget plants biosynthesize Salicylic Acid as do other plants in response to stress due to fluctuating temperatures, attack by insects and fungal diseases. Salicylic Acid does not cause adverse effects to plants at those levels. Levels of up to 0.3 ppm Salicylic Acid have been reported to be present in a wide variety of plants (and insects): Salicylic Acid has been detected in a total of 76 foodstuffs comprising fruit (16), fresh and prepared vegetables (13), herbs and spices (12), flavorings and sauces (9), beverages (20) and miscellaneous foods (6). By using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Salicylic Acid residue levels were found to be 0.3 mg/kg or greater in 32 fruit and vegetables including apples, bananas, lemons, nectarines, plums, grapes, tomatoes, carrots, cucumber, peas, and a variety of herbs and spices, flavorings and sauces, and beverages (Scotter).
- Levels of Salicylic Acid in the environment will not significantly increase above background levels when LPI 6194 Concentrate or LPI 6194 RTU are used according to the proposed label use directions. These formulations are to be used as commercial seed treatments and will not result in increased exposure to the environment to residues of Salicylic Acid. Salicylic Acid residues on treated seeds are expected to remain on the seed, to be diluted by plant growth, or to be metabolized by the growing plant. The application rates on seeds are very low (less than 0.00006 mg AI/Acre).
- Salicylic Acid is metabolized in plants to methyl salicylate and to the conjugates salicylic acid glucose ester and 6-O-D-glucosalicylic acid (Lee). Salicylic Acid is metabolized in animals to more highly polar metabolites including glucuronides (McMahon). Salicylic Acid is also thought to degrade to benzaldehyde, benzyl alcohol, hydroxysalicylic acid, 1,2-benzoquinone, and then to smaller molecules such as maleic acid, fumaric acid and oxalic acid, and ultimately, to carbon dioxide. Salicylic acid is rapidly metabolized in mammals and polar metabolites are excreted typically within 48 hours of dosing.
- Salicylic Acid will be applied at very low use rates and will not contaminate ground or surface water. It is unlikely that Salicylic Acid will be detected in ground or surface water either due to naturally occurring Salicylic Acid or from the very low use rates of Salicylic Acid as a plant growth regulator when applied to seeds. The concentration of Salicylic Acid in LPI 6194 Concentrate is 0.04% and in LPI 6194 RTU is 0.0067%. These products are applied to seeds at very low application rates: LPI 6194 RTU is applied at a concentration of 2 to 6 fl. oz per hundred lbs. of seeds (9 fl. oz. per acre) and LPI 6194 Concentrate is diluted with water to the same rate as LPI 6194 RTU. Based on the proposed use rates of Salicylic Acid as a seed treatment, Salicylic Acid residues in treated seeds are not expected to exceed 0.27 mg Salicylic acid per 1,000 g of seed (see TREX output in Appendix 1). Commercially, the seeds are planted and covered with soil so residues are unlikely to wash off and possibly reach water from runoff. Residues on the seeds are very low (0.26 mg Salicylic Acid per 1,000 g seeds) and are unlikely to contaminate groundwater.
- Salicylic Acid readily degrades in water under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and in the presence of sunlight. Photochemical degradation in the air is expected to occur by reaction of Salicylic Acid with photochemically-produced hydroxyl radicals. The aquatic degradation of Salicylic Acid results in formation of smaller acids which eventually become incorporated into humic acids. Natural Salicylic Acid residues in plants that die and fall into lakes, streams or ponds will degrade and become incorporated into humic acids in sediments.

- Residues on treated seeds are very low. Based on available data, Risk Quotients will not be exceeded for birds. It is assumed that most of the treated seeds are incorporated into the soil at planting. If 1% of treated seeds remain unincorporated and are available for consumption by birds, exposures to birds would be very low. TREX estimated residues on seeds to be 0.26 mg Salicylic Acid per 1,000 g seeds. Assuming most seeds are planted at 150 lb seed per acre (68,100 g per acre), only 681 seeds would be available for consumption. If a single bird weighing 178 kg consumed all 681 treated seeds in one day, this would amount to consumption of 0.17 mg Salicylic Acid, a dose of 0.0096 mg/kg which is far below the LC₅₀ for bobwhite quail (494 mg AI/kg).
- Because of its natural occurrence in plants, Loveland Products Inc. believes that Salicylic Acid
 will not be toxic to threatened or endangered species because Salicylic Acid is not expected to be
 toxic to other nontarget plant or insect species.

The data generated with Salicylic Acid Technical and LPI 6194 Concentrate are sufficient to characterize salicylic acid's low toxicity to nontarget organisms, including plants, birds and insects. No additional nontarget organism testing should be required for Salicylic Acid.

Table 1. Acute Mammalian Toxicity Profile for Salicylic Acid Technical

| Study Type/OPPTS Guideline | LD ₅₀ Results | Toxicity Category | MRID |
|---|---|-------------------|----------|
| Acute Oral Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1100 | 890 mg/kg | III | 47206707 |
| Acute Dermal Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1200 | > 5050 mg/kg | IV | 47206711 |
| Acute Inhalation Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1300 | > 2.21 mg/L | IV | 47206710 |
| Acute Eye Irritation/OPPTS 870.2400 | Based on the irritation score of 15.3, the test material is rated moderately irritating. All of the findings cleared by 72 hours. | III | 47206706 |
| Primary Skin Irritation/OPPTS 870.2500 | No erythema or edema observed. Non-irritating | IV | 47206709 |
| Skin Sensitization/OPPTS 870.2600 | Negative | | 47206708 |

Table 2. Acute Mammalian Toxicity Profile for LPI 6194 Concentrate

| Study Type/OPPTS Guideline | LD ₅₀ Results | Toxicity Category | MRID |
|---|---|-------------------|----------|
| Acute Oral Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1100 | >5000 mg/kg | IV | 47036603 |
| Acute Dermal Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1200 | 5050 mg/kg | IV | 47036605 |
| Acute Inhalation Toxicity/OPPTS 870.1300 | > 2.23 mg/L | IV | 47036606 |
| Acute Eye Irritation/OPPTS 870.2400 | No positive effects exhibited in any eyes at any time during the study. | IV | 47036601 |
| Primary Skin Irritation/OPPTS 870.2500 | PII = 0.1; Slightly irritating based on scores at 72-hour observation only. | IV | 47036602 |
| Skin Sensitization/OPPTS 870.2600 | Negative | | 47036604 |

Table 3. Tier I Nontarget Organism Toxicity Profile for Salicylic Acid Technical

| Study Type/OPPTS Guideline | LD ₅₀ /LC ₅₀ Results | Results | MRID |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| 850.2100 - Acute Avian Oral Toxicity: Bobwhite Quail | LD ₅₀ = 494 mg AI/kg (95% confidence interval 396-614 mg AI/kg) | Moderately toxic | 47206704 |
| 850.2200 - Acute Avian Dietary Toxicity: Mallard Duck | LC ₅₀ > 5,000 ppm AI NOAEL = 2500 ppm AI | Practically non-toxic | 47206702 |
| 850.2200 - Acute Avian Dietary Toxicity: Bobwhite Quail | LC ₅₀ > 5,000 ppm AI NOAEL = 2500 ppm AI | Practically non-toxic | 47229501 |
| 850.1075 - Fish Toxicity: Trout | 96-hr LC ₅₀ = 134.8 mg AI/L (95% confidence interval 125-145 mg AI/L) NOEC = 85.3 mg/L | Practically non-toxic | 47206705 |
| 850.1010 Freshwater Invertebrate Toxicity: Daphnia | 48-hr EC ₅₀ = 184.88 mg AI/L (95% confidence interval 182.1- 187.7 mg AI/L) NOEC = 150.3 mg/L | Practically non-toxic | 47206703 |
| 850.3020 Honey Bee Acute Contact | 96-hr Contact LD ₅₀ > 100 ug/bee | Practically non-toxic | Volume 12 of this submission ² |

² Porch, J. R. and Krueger, H. O. Salicylic Acid Technical: An Acute Contact Toxicity Study with the Honey Bee.

Table 4. Tier I Nontarget Plant Toxicity Profile for LPI 6194 Concentrate ³

Effects of LI 6194 Concentrate on Emergence, Survival, Height and Dry Weight of Ten Species

Effects of LI 6194 Concentrate on Emergence, Survival, Height and Dry Weight of Ten Species of Plants in a 21-Day Seedling Emergence Test

| Species: Treatment Group (fl oz/Ac) | % Emergence Mean ± SD (% Reduction) | % Survival Mean ± SD (% Reduction) | Dry Weight (g) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) | Height (cm) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Allium cepa (Onion): | | | | |
| Negative Control | 6.00 ± 0.00 | 91.7 ± 9.62 | 0.017 ± 0.0040 | 20.1 ± 1.89 |
| 9 | 5.75 ± 0.96 (4%) | 82.9 ± 20.34 (10%) | 0.025 ± 0.0029 (-53%) | 22.0 ± 1.27 (-9%) |
| Lolium perenne (Rye | grass): | | | |
| Negative Control | 9.25 ± 0.96 | 100.0 ± 0.00 | 0.009 ± 0.0022 | 15.5 ± 1.93 |
| 9 | $7.00 \pm 1.41*$ (24%) | 100.0 ± 0.00 (0%) | 0.015 ± 0.0068 (-62%) | 15.1 ± 1.11 (3%) |
| Triticum aestivum (V | /heat): | | | |
| Negative Control | 9.75 ± 0.50 | 100.0 ± 0.00 | 0.310 ± 0.0253 | 47.8 ± 2.45 |
| 9 | 9.50 ± 0.58 (3%) | 100.0 ± 0.00 (0%) | 0.331 ± 0.0348 (-7%) | 46.1 ± 3.11 (4%) |
| Zea mays (Corn): | | | | |
| Negative Control | 8.00 ± 1.83 | 97.5 ± 5.00 | 2.11 ± 0.355 | 86.6 ± 7.43 |
| 9 | 8.00 ± 0.82 (0%) | 96.9 ± 6.25 (1%) | 2.02 ± 0.206 (4%) | 86.0 ± 3.53 (1%) |
| Brassica napus (Oils | eed Rape): | | | |
| Negative Control | 8.00 ± 1.41 | 91.3 ± 10.78 | 0.611 ± 0.0709 | 24.0 ± 2.40 |
| 9 | 4.50 ± 1.00* (44%) | 100.0 ± 0.00 (-10%) | 0.838 ± 0.0669 (-37%) | 26.5 ± 2.65 (-10%) |

Mean \pm SD = Mean plus or minus one standard deviation.

^{* -} Treatment group mean was significantly different from the control mean (p < 0.05).

³ Porch, J. R. and Krueger, H. O. LPI 6194 Concentrate: A Toxicity Test to Determine the Effects of the Test Substance on Seeding Emergence of Ten Species of Plants.

Table 4 (continued) Tier I Nontarget Plant Toxicity Profile for LPI 6194 Concentrate Effects of LI 6194 Concentrate on Emergence, Survival, Height and Dry Weight of Ten Species of Plants in a 21-Day Seedling Emergence Test

| Species: | % Emergence | % Survival | Dry Weight (g) | Height (cm) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Treatment Group | Mean ± SD | $Mean \pm SD$ | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |
| (fl oz/Ac) | (% Reduction) | (% Reduction) | (% Reduction) | (% Reduction) |
| Brassica oleracea (C | abbage): | | | |
| Negative Control | 8.00 ± 1.63 | 88.1 ± 17.72 | 0.343 ± 0.0859 | 18.0 ± 3.73 |
| 9 | 7.25 ± 0.50 (9%) | 96.4 ± 7.14 (-9%) | 0.319 ± 0.1072 (7%) | 18.8 ± 1.80 (-5%) |
| Glycine max (Soybea | n): | | | |
| Negative Control | 8.50 ± 1.29 | 87.0 ± 11.77 | 1.21 ± 0.350 | 33.5 ± 4.46 |
| 9 | 8.75 ± 1.26 (-3%) | 95.0 ± 10.00 (-9%) | 1.07 ± 0.056 (11%) | 32.2 ± 2.44 (4%) |
| Lactuca sativa (Lettu | ce): | | | |
| Negative Control | 4.75 ± 2.63 | 88.1 ± 15.79 | 0.255 ± 0.2553 | 14.0 ± 4.93 |
| 9 | 5.75 ± 1.50 (-21%) | 74.5 ± 12.35 (15%) | 0.151 ± 0.1048 (41%) | 14.7 ± 2.87 (-5%) |
| Lycopersicon esculen | tum (Tomato): | | | |
| Negative Control | 9.00 ± 1.15 | 86.9 ± 12.48 | 0.340 ± 0.0274 | 25.4 ± 3.46 |
| 9 | 9.00 ± 0.82 (0%) | 89.1 ± 8.25 (-3%) | 0.342 ± 0.0986 (-1%) | 27.4 ± 2.88 (-8%) |
| Phaseolus vulgaris (E | Bean): | | | |
| Negative Control | 9.25 ± 0.96 | 100.0 ± 0.00 | 1.03 ± 0.079 | 25.0 ± 1.38 |
| 9 | 8.75 ± 1.26 (5%) | 96.4 ± 7.14 (4%) | 1.17 ± 0.213 (-14%) | 26.5 ± 2.46 (-6%) |

Mean \pm SD = Mean plus or minus one standard deviation. * - Treatment group mean was significantly different from the control mean (p < 0.05).

Table 5. Tier I Nontarget Plant Toxicity Profile for LPI 6194 Concentrate⁴ Effects of LPI 6194 Concentrate on Height and Dry Weight of Ten Species of Plants in a 21-Day Vegetative Vigor Test

| Species: Treatment Group | | Height (cm) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) | | Dry Weight (g) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| (fl oz/Ac) | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 | Day 21 |
| Allium cepa (Onion) | : | | | |
| Negative Control | 21.4 ± 1.21 | 21.4 ± 2.62 | 21.0 ± 2.99 | 0.0414 ± 0.01264 |
| 9 | 21.6 ± 0.97 (-1%) | 23.1 ± 2.73 (-8%) | 22.5 ± 2.95 (-7%) | 0.0577 ± 0.03723 (-39%) |
| Lolium perenne (Rye | egrass): | | | |
| Negative Control | 20.2 ± 0.61 | 22.0 ± 1.50 | 25.2 ± 2.05 | 0.728 ± 0.1728 |
| 9 | 18.8 ± 2.08 (7%) | 21.3 ± 2.09 (3%) | 24.4 ± 2.11 (3%) | 0.544 ± 0.1366* (25%) |
| Triticum aestivum (V | Vheat): | | | |
| Negative Control | 37.5 ± 1.53 | 41.7 ± 1.48 | 48.0 ± 2.11 | 0.860 ± 0.0975 |
| 9 | 35.8 ± 1.21* (5%) | 40.5 ± 1.78 (3%) | 47.5 ± 1.47 (1%) | 0.847 ± 0.1097 (1%) |
| Zea mays (Com): | | | | |
| Negative Control | 59.6 ± 7.54 | 89.5 ± 10.23 | 118.6 ± 12.27 | 4.69 ± 0.805 |
| 9 | 63.0 ± 5.65 (-6%) | 94.0 ± 8.04 (-5%) | 123.2 ± 13.56 (-4%) | 5.25 ± 0.548 (-12%) |
| Brassica napus (Oils | eed Rape): | | | |
| Negative Control | 28.3 ± 2.53 | 37.0 ± 3.62 | 45.8 ± 5.32 | 4.76 ± 0.946 |
| 9 | 27.2 ± 1.05 (4%) | 34.3 ± 1.60 (7%) | 41.9 ± 2.41 (9%) | 4.78 ± 0.831 (0%) |

Mean \pm SD = Mean plus or minus one standard deviation.

^{*} Treatment group mean was determined to be significantly different (p < 0.05) from the control mean.

⁴ Porch, J. R. and Krueger, H. O. LPI 6194 Concentrate: A Toxicity Test to Determine the Effects of the Test Substance on Vegetative Vigor of Ten Species of Plants.

Table 5 (continued). Tier I Nontarget Plant Toxicity Profile for LPI 6194 Concentrate

Effects of LPI 6194 Concentrate on Height and Dry Weight of Ten Species of Plants

in a 21-Day Vegetative Vigor Test

| Species: Treatment Group | | Height (cm) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) | | Dry Weight (g) Mean ± SD (% Reduction) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| (fl oz/Ac) | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 | Day 21 |
| Brassica oleracea (C | Cabbage): | - | | |
| Negative Control | 17.4 ± 1.40 | 18.9 ± 1.06 | 19.2 ± 1.04 | 3.52 ± 0.219 |
| . 9 | 18.8 ± 1.08 (-8%) | 20.3 ± 1.80 (-8%) | 20.8 ± 2.39 (-9%) | 3.76 ± 0.505 (-7%) |
| Glycine max (Soyber | an): | | | |
| Negative Control | 39.7 ± 2.03 | 64.0 ± 7.40 | 105.8 ± 19.3 | 7.53 ± 1.71 |
| 9 | 37.9 ± 2.36 (4%) | 62.1 ± 4.83 (3%) | 102.7 ± 9.24 (3%) | 7.07 ± 0.563 (6%) |
| Lactuca sativa (Letti | ıce): | | | er. |
| Negative Control | 15.6 ± 1.78 | 18.6 ± 1.92 | 20.8 ± 2.84 | 3.53 ± 0.558 |
| 9 | 14.5 ± 2.49 (7%) | 17.9 ± 2.06 (4%) | 19.9 ± 2.50 (4%) | 3.29 ± 0.517 (7%) |
| Lycopersicon esculer | ntum (Tomato): | | | |
| Negative Control | 29.6 ± 2.78 | 43.7 ± 3.72 | 58.0 ± 3.22 | 4.84 ± 0.806 |
| 9 | 32.9 ± 0.52 (-11%) | 49.6 ± 2.28 (-14%) | 63.9 ± 3.61 (-10%) | 6.88 ± 0.568 (-42%) |
| Phaseolus vulgaris (I | Bean): | | | |
| Negative Control | 34.2 ± 5.00 | 77.1 ± 7.84 | 85.8 ± 7.37 | 5.56 ± 0.716 |
| 9 | 36.3 ± 3.98 (-6%) | 75.9 ± 10.8 (2%) | 83.5 ± 7.87 (3%) | 5.77 ± 0.774 (-4%) |

Mean \pm SD = Mean plus or minus one standard deviation..

No treatment group mean was determined to be significantly different (p > 0.05) from the control mean.

References

Engelberth, Jurgen . 2006. "Smelling the Danger and Getting Prepared: Volatile Signals as Priming Agents in Defense Response". Plant Physiology On-Line. http://4e.plantphys.net.

Fiume, M. 2003. International Journal of Toxicology, 23 (Suppl. 3): 1-108. "Safety Assessment of Salicylic Acid, Butyloctyl Salicylate, Calcium Salicylate, C12-15 Alkyl Salicylate, Capryloyl Salicylic Acid, Hexyldodecyl Salicylate, Isocetyl Salicylate, Isodecyl Salicylate, Magnesium Salicylate, MEA-Salicylate, Ethylhexyl Salicylate, Potassium Salicylate, Methyl Salicylate, Myristyl Salicylate, Sodium Salicylate, TEA-Salicylate, and Tridecyl Salicylate". MRID 47780933.

Hayat, S. and Hamad, A. 2007 Salicylic Acid – A Plant Hormone. Springer ISBN 1402051832.

Jones, Alan M. Surprising signals in plant cells. Science, 1994, 263: 183-184

Lee, H, Leon, J., and Raskin, I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1995, 92, (4076-4079).

McMahon, T. Kilberto, J. and Birnbaum, L. (1990) Effects of age and dose on disposition metabolism of salicylic acid in male Fischer 344 rats. Drug Metabolism Disposition 18:494-503.

Metraux, Jean-Pierre Metraux. Recent breakthroughs in the study of salicylic acid biosynthesis. Trends in Plant Science, 2002, DOI:10.106/S1360-1385 (02) 02313-0

Raskin, Ilya. Salicylate, A New Plant Hormone. Plant Physiology, 1992. 99:799-803.

Scotter, M. J., Roberts, D. P. T., Wilson, L. A., Howard, F. A. C., Davis, J., and Mansell, N. Food Chemistry, 2007, 105 (273-279).

Silverman, P., et al. Salicylic Acid in Rice (Biosynthesis, Conjugation, and Possible Role). Plant Physiology, 1995, 108:633-639.

Taiz, L. and Zeiger, E. 2002. Plant Physiology, 3rd Edition. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Sunderland, MA

Van Huijsduijneen, H. 1986. Induction by Salicylic Acid Pathogenesis-related Proteins and Resistance to Alfalfa Mosaic Virus Infection in Various Plant Species. J. Gen. Virol. (1986) 67, 2135-2143.



| exposure estimates for foliar, granular, I | iquid and/or |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| Salicylic Acid | |
| seed treatment | |
| LPI 6194 RTU liquid | |
| 0.00670% | |
| 0.0000599 | |
| 35 | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| or RQs for national level assessments) | |
| · | Mammals |
| or RQs for national level assessments) | Mammals 15 |
| or RQs for national level assessments) Birds | |
| | seed treatment LPI 6194 RTU liquid 0.00670% 0.0000599 35 |

| Avian | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|------------------|
| Endpoint | Toxicity value | | |
| LD50 (mg/kg-bw) | 494 Bobwhite Quail | 1 | |
| LC50 (mg/kg-diet) | 5000.00 Mallard Duck | 2 | |
| NOAEL (mg/kg-bw) | | 2 | |
| NOAEC (mg/kg-diet) | | 1 | |
| Enter the Mineau et al. Scaling Fa | ctor | 1.15 | |
| Mammalian | | Acute Study | Chronic Study |
| Size (g) of mammal used in toxicity study Default rat body weight is 350 grams | | 350 | 350 |
| Endpoint | Toxicity value | | Reference (MRID) |
| LD50 (mg/kg-bw) | 5000 | | |
| LC50 (mg/kg-diet) | | | |
| Reported Chronic Endpoint | 25.00 | mg/kg-bw | |
| Is dietary concentration (mg/kg- diet) reported from the available chronic mammal study? (yes or no) | no | | |
| Enter dietary concentration (mg/kg-diet) | | | |
| Estimated Chronic Diet Concentration Equivalent to Reported Chronic Daily Dose | 500 | mg/kg-diet based on standard FDA lab rat conversion | |

| | Chemical: | Salicylic Acid LPI 6194 RTU | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|------|
| | Name of seed treatment formulation: | | | | | | Ţ |
| | Percent AI in formulation: | 0.00670% | | 0 | Density product (II | of os/gal): | 8.47 |
| Endpoints | | Reported | Tested Body | Adjusted LD50 | | | |
| | | | Weight (g) | | | | |
| | Avian LD50: | 494.00 | 178 | 355.89 | | | |
| | Avian repro. NOAEC: | 0.00 | | | | | |
| | Mammalian LD50: | 5000.00 | 190 | 9432.69 | | | |
| | Mammalian NOAEC: | 500.00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | T | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Сгор | Maximum Seeding Rate (lbs/acre) | Application Rate | Application Rate | |
| | | (fl oz/cwt) | (lbs. Ai/cwt) | |
| Barley beans | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| dried beans | 160 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| | 160 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| lima beans (succulent) | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| snap beans | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Canola | 8 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Corn | 25 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Cotton | 18 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Oats | 128 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Onion | 15 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| cowpeas/ blackeyed peas | 50 | . 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Pea | 180 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| lupine | 170 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| grain lupine | 170 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| field peas | 225 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Peanuts | 135 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Rice | 160 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Rye | 150 | 6 . | 0.0000266 | |
| Safflower | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Sorghum | 8 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Soybeans | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| soybeans, edible | 100 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Sugar beets | 8 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Triticale | 90 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |
| Wheat | 135 | 6 | 0.0000266 | |

| Crop | Maximum Application Rate | Maximum Seed Application Rate | Avian Nagy Dose | Mammalian Nagy Dose | Available AI |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | (lbs ai/A) | (mg ai/kg seed) | (mg ai/kg-bw/day) | (mg ai/kg-bw/day) | (mg ai ft-2) |
| Barley | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| beans | 0.0000426 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004441 |
| dried beans | 0.0000426 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004441 |
| lima beans (succulent) | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| snap beans | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| Canola | 0.0000021 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000222 |
| Corn | 0.0000067 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000694 |
| Cotton | 0.0000048 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000500 |
| Oats | 0.0000340 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0003553 |
| Onion | 0.0000040 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000416 |
| cowpeas/ blackeyed peas | 0.0000133 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0001388 |
| Pea | 0.0000479 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004996 |
| lupine | 0.0000452 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004719 |
| grain lupine | 0.0000452 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004719 |
| field peas | 0.0000599 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0006246 |
| Peanuts | 0.0000359 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0003747 |
| Rice | 0.0000426 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004441 |
| Rye | 0.0000399 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0004164 |
| Safflower | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| Sorghum | 0.0000021 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000222 |
| Soybeans | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| soybeans, edible | 0.0000266 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002776 |
| Sugar beets | 0.0000021 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0000222 |
| Triticale | 0.0000239 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0002498 |
| Wheat | 0.0000359 | 0.2660109 | 0.0673244 | 0.0563602 | 0.0003747 |

| Crop | Risk Quotients† | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|------------------|------------------|----------|--|
| | Avian (20 g) | | | Mammalian (15 g) | Mammalian (15 g) | | |
| | Acute (# 1) | Acute (# 2) | Chronic | Acute (# 1) | Acute (# 2) | Chronic | |
| Barley | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| beans | 0.000189 | 0.000062 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| dried beans | 0.000189 | 0.000062 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| lima beans (succulent) | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| snap beans | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| Canola | 0.000189 | 0.000003 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| Corn | 0.000189 | 0.000010 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| Cotton | 0.000189 | 0.000007 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| Oats | 0.000189 | 0.000050 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| Onion | 0.000189 | 0.000006 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| cowpeas/ blackeyed peas | 0.000189 | 0.000019 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000001 | 0.000532 | |
| Pea | 0.000189 | 0.000070 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000004 | 0.000532 | |
| lupine | 0.000189 | 0.000066 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| grain lupine | 0.000189 | 0.000066 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| field peas | 0.000189 | 0.000088 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000004 | 0.000532 | |
| Peanuts | 0.000189 | 0.000053 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| Rice | 0.000189 | 0.000062 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| Rye | 0.000189 | 0.000058 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |
| Safflower | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| Sorghum | 0.000189 | 0.000003 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| Soybeans | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| soybeans, edible | 0.000189 | 0.000039 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| Sugar beets | 0.000189 | 0.000003 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000000 | 0.000532 | |
| Triticale | 0.000189 | 0.000035 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000002 | 0.000532 | |
| Wheat | 0.000189 | 0.000053 | #DIV/0! | 0.000006 | 0.000003 | 0.000532 | |

t

Acute RQ #1 =
Acute RQ #2 =
Chronic RQ =

(mg ai /kg-bw/day) / LD50 mg ai ft-2 /(LD50*bw) mg kg-1 seed / NOEC